

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/614,557	07/07/2003	Frank Yang	PAT-1512	PAT-1512 5796	
75	90 08/29/2005		EXAMINER		
Raymond Sun 12420 Woodhall Way			WUJCIAK, ALFRED J		
Tustin, CA 92782			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3632		

DATE MAILED: 08/29/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Ameliacation No.	A-mlicont(a)				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/614,557	YANG ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Alfred Joseph Wujciak III	3632				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 7/25/	05.					
·						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar						
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 18 and 19 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☐ Claim(s) 18 and 19 is/are rejected.  7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>07 July 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	ite atent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/614,557

Art Unit: 3632

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

This is non-final office action for the serial number 10/614,557, TRASH CAN ASSEMBLY, filed on 7/7/03.

The examiner withdrew the allowability of claims 18-19 in view of new ground of rejection, any inconvience is regretted.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 18 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US Patent # 6,010,024 to Wang and in view of US Patent # 5,125,526 to Sumanis.

Wang teaches a trash can assembly (figure 1) comprising a shell (6) having a top end and a bottom end, a liner (7) having a container body with a bottom and an enclosing side wall, a lid (5) fitted over the top end of the shell and a support block (913-916) provided adjacent to the bottom end of the shell.

Wang teaches the liner but fails to teach the linear having a trash bag. Sumanis teaches the trash bag (T). It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have added trash bag to Wang's liner as taught by Sumanis to keep the liner clean from the garbage.

Wang in view of Sumanis teaches all elements above but fails to teach the use of elements in method. It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time

Art Unit: 3632

the invention was made to have specified steps for setting up elements together to provide convenience for removing the linear from the shell and then placing the linear back in the shell.

Claim 19 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wang and in view of Sumanis in further view of US Patent # 6,364,147 to Meinzinger et al.

Wang teaches the shell but fails to teach the shell having groove. Meinzinger et al. teaches shell (114) having groove (141). It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified Wang's shell with groove as taught by Meinzinger et al. to provide accessible for removing the liner from the shell.

### Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 18-19 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alfred Joseph Wujciak III whose telephone number is (571) 272-6827. The examiner can normally be reached on 8am-4:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Olszewski can be reached on (571) 272-6815. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/614,557

Art Unit: 3632

Page 4

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Alfred Joseph Wujciak III

Examiner

Art Unit 3632

8/23/05